

EXHIBIT D

From: Benson, Leigh Ann
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2020 7:07 AM
To: seth@dereksmithlaw.com
Cc: Walton, David
Subject: FW: MEF Cases--Confidentiality Agreements/Proposed Order
Attachments: LEGAL 44713034v1 Brady Proposed Stipulated Protective Agreement 2020.02.05.DOCX; LEGAL 44744847v1 Motion for Confidentiality Order (Ex A. Proposed Stipulated Protective Order).DOCX; LEGAL 44712251v1 McNulty Proposed Confidentiality and Protective Agreement 2020.02.05.DOCX

Seth, please see the email below and attached documents.



Leigh Ann Benson
Associate | Cozen O'Connor
One Liberty Place, 1650 Market Street Suite 2800 | Philadelphia, PA 19103
P: 215-665-4708 F: 215-701-2002
[Email](#) | [Bio](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Map](#) | [cozen.com](#)

From: Benson, Leigh Ann
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 10:40 AM
To: 'Seth Carson' <seth@dereksmithlaw.com>
Cc: Walton, David <DWalton@cozen.com>; La Rocca, Luke T. <LLaRocca@cozen.com>; 'Sidney Gold' <srgold@discrimlaw.net>; 'Bill Rieser' <brieser@discrimlaw.net>
Subject: RE: MEF Cases--Confidentiality Agreements/Proposed Order

Seth, please advise as to your review of the confidentiality agreements.

Leigh Ann



Leigh Ann Benson
Associate | Cozen O'Connor
One Liberty Place, 1650 Market Street Suite 2800 | Philadelphia, PA 19103
P: 215-665-4708 F: 215-701-2002
[Email](#) | [Bio](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Map](#) | [cozen.com](#)

From: Benson, Leigh Ann
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 9:09 AM
To: 'Seth Carson' <seth@dereksmithlaw.com>
Cc: Walton, David <DWalton@cozen.com>; La Rocca, Luke T. <LLaRocca@cozen.com>; 'Sidney Gold' <srgold@discrimlaw.net>; 'Bill Rieser' <brieser@discrimlaw.net>
Subject: RE: MEF Cases--Confidentiality Agreements/Proposed Order

Good morning, Seth. Please advise as to whether you have any changes to the proposed confidentiality agreements and if you will join in a motion for the Barbounis matter.

Leigh ann



Leigh Ann Benson
Associate | Cozen O'Connor
One Liberty Place, 1650 Market Street Suite 2800 | Philadelphia, PA 19103
P: 215-665-4708 F: 215-701-2002
[Email](#) | [Bio](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Map](#) | [cozen.com](#)

From: Benson, Leigh Ann
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 7:24 AM
To: Seth Carson <seth@dereksmithlaw.com>
Cc: Walton, David <DWalton@cozen.com>; La Rocca, Luke T. <LLaRocca@cozen.com>; Sidney Gold <sgold@discrimlaw.net>; Bill Rieser <brieser@discrimlaw.net>
Subject: MEF Cases--Confidentiality Agreements/Proposed Order

Seth, we have prepared confidentiality agreements for the McNulty and Brady actions and a proposed confidentiality order for the Barbounis action. Judges Brody and Kearney ask that the parties enter into private confidentiality agreements, but Judge Wolson requires that parties submit a motion for a protective order. Please let us know if you will join such motion and if so we can take the lead on drafting it.

Leigh Ann



Leigh Ann Benson
Associate | Cozen O'Connor
One Liberty Place, 1650 Market Street Suite 2800 | Philadelphia, PA 19103
P: 215-665-4708 F: 215-701-2002
[Email](#) | [Bio](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Map](#) | [cozen.com](#)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CAITRIONA BRADY	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-cv-05082-MAK
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
-vs-	:	
THE MIDDLE EAST FORUM,	:	
DANIEL PIPES (<i>individually</i>),	:	
GREGG ROMAN (<i>individually</i>), and	:	
MATTHEW BENNETT (<i>individually</i>)	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and AGREED by and between plaintiff Ms. Caitriona Brady (“Plaintiff” or “Ms. Brady”) and defendant The Middle East Forum (“The Forum” or “Defendant”) (collectively, the “Parties”) that this Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement be agreed to as follows:

1. PURPOSES & SCOPE OF THIS AGREEMENT

Discovery in this action is likely to involve disclosure of confidential, proprietary, or private information, the public disclosure of which could adversely affect the business interests of the parties. *See Pansy v. Borough of Stroudsburg*, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994) and *In re Avandia Mktg., Sale Practices & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, 924 F.3d 662, 671-73 (3d Cir. 2019). Accordingly, because there is good cause for requiring the confidential treatment of these documents, the Parties hereby stipulate to and agree to the provisions of The Confidentiality Order.

2. “CONFIDENTIAL” DESIGNATIONS

Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as “Confidential” and subject to this Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any

document or thing: (a) that contains trade secrets, competitively sensitive technical, marketing, financial, sales or other confidential business information, or (b) information regarding sensitive issues involving the U.S. government and/or foreign government relations, or (c) that contains private or confidential personal information, or (d) that contains information received in confidence from third parties, or (e) which the producing party otherwise believes in good faith to be entitled to protection under Rule 26(c)(1)(G) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable local rules of the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Any party to this litigation or any third party covered by this Order, who produces or discloses any Confidential material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend: “CONFIDENTIAL” or “CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER” (hereinafter “Confidential”).

3. ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY DESIGNATIONS

Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as “Attorneys-Eyes Only” and subject to this Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any document or thing that contains highly sensitive business, governmental, or personal information, the disclosure of which is highly likely to cause significant harm to an individual, government, or to the business or competitive position of the designating party. Any party to this litigation or any third party who is covered by this Order, who produces or discloses any Attorneys-Eyes Only material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend: “ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY” or “ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY - SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER” (hereinafter “Attorneys-Eyes Only” or “AEO”).

4. USE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS

All Confidential material shall be used by the receiving party solely for purposes of the prosecution or defense of this action and the prosecution of the following actions pending before this Court: *McNulty v. Middle East Forum*, No. 2:19-cv-05029-AB; *Barbounis v. Middle East Forum*, No. 2:19-cv-05030-JDW; *O'Brien v. Middle East Forum*, No. 2:20-cv-00457-JMY; *O'Brien v. Middle East Forum*, No. 2:19-cv-06078-PBT; and *Middle East Forum v. Barbounis*, No. 2:19-cv-05697, and shall not be used by the receiving party for any business, commercial, competitive, personal or other purpose, and shall not be disclosed by the receiving party to anyone other than those set forth in Paragraph 5, unless and until the restrictions herein are removed either by written mutual agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court. It is, however, understood that counsel for a party may give advice and opinions to his or her client solely relating to the above-captioned action based on his or her evaluation of Confidential material, provided that such advice and opinions shall not reveal the content of such Confidential material except by prior written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court.

5. DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS

Confidential material and the contents of Confidential material may be disclosed only to the following individuals under the following conditions:

5.1 Outside counsel (herein after defined as any attorney at the parties' outside law firms) and relevant in-house counsel for the parties;

5.2 Outside experts or consultants retained by outside counsel for purposes of this action, provided they have signed a non-disclosure agreement in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A**;

5.3 Secretarial, paralegal, clerical, duplicating and data processing personnel of the foregoing;

5.4 The Court and court personnel;

5.5 Any deponent may be shown or examined on any information, document, or thing designated Confidential if it appears that the witness authored or received a copy of it, was involved in the subject matter described therein or is employed by the party who produced the information, document, or thing, or if the producing party consents to such disclosure;

5.6 Vendors retained by or for the parties to assist in preparing for pretrial discovery, trial and/or hearings including, but not limited to, court reporters, litigation support personnel, jury consultants, individuals to prepare demonstrative and audiovisual aids for use in the courtroom or in depositions or mock jury sessions, as well as their staff, stenographic, and clerical employees whose duties and responsibilities require access to such materials; and

5.7 The Parties. In the case of parties that are corporations or other business entities, “party” shall mean executives who are required to participate in decisions with reference to this lawsuit.

Confidential material shall be used only by individuals permitted access to it under this Paragraph. Confidential material, copies thereof, and the information contained therein, shall not be disclosed in any manner to any other individual, until and unless (a) outside counsel for the party asserting confidentiality waives the claim of confidentiality, or (b) the Court orders such disclosure; (c) the Court rejects the producing party’s Confidential designation.

6. USE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIALS DURING DEPOSITIONS

With respect to any depositions that involve a disclosure of Confidential material of a party to this action, such party shall have until thirty (30) days after receipt of the deposition transcript within which to inform all other parties that portions of the transcript are to be designated

Confidential or Attorneys-Eyes Only, which period may be extended by agreement of the parties. No such deposition transcript shall be disclosed to any individual other than the individuals described in Paragraphs 5.1-5.4 and 5.6 above and the deponent during these thirty (30) days, and no individual attending such a deposition shall disclose the contents of the deposition to any individual other than those described in Paragraphs 5.1-5.4 and 5.6 above during said thirty (30) days. Upon being informed that certain portions of a deposition are to be designated as Confidential or Attorneys Eyes Only, all parties shall immediately cause each copy of the transcript in its custody or control to be appropriately marked and limit disclosure of that transcript in accordance with Paragraphs 4 and 5.

7. USE OF MATERIALS DESIGNATED AS ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY

Material produced and marked as Attorneys-Eyes Only may be disclosed only to counsel for the receiving party (includes in-house and outside counsel) and to such other persons as counsel for the producing party agrees in advance or as Ordered by the Court.

8. OBJECTING TO MATERIALS DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL OR ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY

If counsel for a party receiving documents or information designated as Confidential or Attorneys-Eyes Only hereunder objects to such designation of any or all of such items, the following procedure shall apply:

8.1 Counsel for the objecting party shall serve on the designating party or third party a written objection to such designation, which shall describe with particularity the documents or information in question and shall state the grounds for objection. Counsel for the designating party or third party shall respond in writing to such objection within 14 days, and shall state with particularity the grounds for asserting that the document or information is Confidential or Attorneys-Eyes Only. If no timely written response is made to the objection, the challenged

designation will be deemed to be void. If the designating party or nonparty makes a timely response to such objection asserting the propriety of the designation, counsel shall then confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the dispute.

8.2 If a dispute as to a Confidential or Attorneys-Eyes Only designation of a document or item of information cannot be resolved by agreement, the proponent of the designation being challenged shall present the dispute to the Court in accordance with applicable local rules of the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the policies and procedures of the Honorable Mark A. Kearney before filing a formal motion for an order regarding the challenged designation. The document or information that is the subject of the filing shall be treated as originally designated pending resolution of the dispute.

9. DOCUMENTS FILED UNDER SEAL

All Requests to seal documents filed with the Court shall comply with Local Civil Rule 5.1.5.

10. DISCLOSURE OF MATERIALS DESIGNATED CONFIDENTIAL OR ATTORNEYS-EYES ONLY DURING TRIAL OR BEFORE THE COURT

If the need arises during trial or at any Hearing before the Court for any party to disclose Confidential or Attorneys-Eyes Only information, it may do so only after giving notice to the producing party and as directed by the Court.

11. INADVERTENT OR MISTAKEN DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

To the extent consistent with applicable law, the inadvertent or unintentional disclosure of Confidential Attorneys-Eyes Only material that should have been designated as such, regardless of whether the information, document or thing was so designated at the time of disclosure, shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of a party's claim of confidentiality, either as to the specific information, document or thing disclosed or as to any other material or information

concerning the same or related subject matter. Such inadvertent or unintentional disclosure may be rectified by notifying in writing counsel for all parties to whom the material was disclosed that the material should have been designated Confidential Attorneys-Eyes Only within a reasonable time after disclosure. Such notice shall constitute a designation of the information, document or thing as Confidential Attorneys-Eyes Only under this Discovery Confidentiality Order.

12. INADVERTENT OR MISTAKEN DISCLOSURE OF PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS

When the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of any information, document or thing protected by privilege or work-product immunity is discovered by the producing party and brought to the attention of the receiving party, the receiving party's treatment of such material shall be in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). Such inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of such information, document or thing shall not by itself constitute a waiver by the producing party of any claims of privilege or work-product immunity. However, nothing herein restricts the right of the receiving party to challenge the producing party's claim of privilege if appropriate within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure.

13. DOCUMENTS PREVIOUSLY KNOWN OR PUBLICLY AVAILABLE

No information that is in the public domain or which is already known by the receiving party through proper means or which is or becomes available to a party from a source other than the party asserting confidentiality, rightfully in possession of such information on a non-confidential basis, shall be deemed or considered to be Confidential material under this Discovery Confidentiality Order.

14. RIGHTS TO SEEK RELIEF FROM THE COURT

This Discovery Confidentiality Order shall not deprive any party of its right to object to discovery by any other party or on any otherwise permitted ground. This Discovery Confidentiality Order is being entered without prejudice to the right of any party to move the Court for modification or for relief from any of its terms.

15. SURVIVAL OF ACTION'S TERMINATION

This Discovery Confidentiality Order shall survive the termination of this action and shall remain in full force and effect unless modified by an Order of this Court or by the written stipulation of the parties filed with the Court.

16. ACTIONS TAKEN AT CONCLUSION OF LITIGATION

Upon final conclusion of this litigation, each party or other individual subject to the terms hereof shall be under an obligation to assemble and to return to the originating source all originals and unmarked copies of documents and things containing Confidential material and to destroy, should such source so request, all copies of Confidential material that contain and/or constitute attorney work product as well as excerpts, summaries and digests revealing Confidential material; provided, however, that counsel may retain complete copies of all transcripts and pleadings including any exhibits attached thereto for archival purposes, subject to the provisions of this Discovery Confidentiality Order. To the extent a party requests the return of Confidential material from the Court after the final conclusion of the litigation, including the exhaustion of all appeals therefrom and all related proceedings, the party shall file a motion seeking such relief.

DATED June 4, 2020.

/s/

Seth D. Carson, Esq.
Derek Smith Law Group, PLLC
1835 Market Street
Suite 2950
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215-391-4790
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ David J. Walton

David J. Walton, Esq.
Leigh Ann Benson, Esq.
COZEN O'CONNOR
1650 Market Street
Suite 2800
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215-665-2000
Attorneys for Defendants The Middle East Forum and Gregg Roman

/s/ Sidney L. Gold

Sidney L. Gold, Esq.
Traci M. Greenberg, Esq.
William Rieser, Esq.
SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOCIATES, PC
1835 Market Street
Suite 515
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: 215-569-1999
Attorneys for Defendant Gregg Roman

EXHIBIT A

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CAITRIONA BRADY	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-cv-05082-MAK
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
-vs-	:	
THE MIDDLE EAST FORUM,	:	
DANIEL PIPES (<i>individually</i>),	:	
GREGG ROMAN (<i>individually</i>), and	:	
MATTHEW BENNETT (<i>individually</i>)	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY STIPULATED
CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER**

I, _____ being duly sworn, state that:

1. My present residential address is; _____

2. My present employer is

and the address of my present employer is

3. My present occupation or job description is

4. I have received and carefully read the Stipulated Confidentiality Order in the above-captioned case. I certify that I understand the terms of that Order, recognize that I am bound by the terms of that Order, and agree to comply with those terms.

5. I will hold in confidence and not disclose to anyone not qualified under the Stipulated Confidentiality Order any material designated CONFIDENTIAL, or any words, summaries, abstracts or indices of Confidential information disclosed to me. Further, I understand that unauthorized disclosure of any material designated CONFIDENTIAL, or its substance, may

constitute contempt of this Court and may subject me to sanctions or other remedies that may be imposed by the Court and potentially liable in a civil action for damages by the disclosing party.

6. I will limit use of Confidential material disclosed to me solely for the purposes of this action.

7. No later than the final conclusion of the case, I will return all Confidential Material and summaries, abstracts, and indices thereof which come into my possession, and documents or things which I have prepared relating thereto, to counsel for the party for whom I was employed or retained.

(e) I agree to submit to the jurisdiction for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Stipulated Confidentiality Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature: _____

Dated: _____